

- I. There was fatal error in the failure to suppress statements allegedly made to detectives.

DECISION

Appellant argues on appeal that his Sixth Amendment right to counsel was violated and accordingly, his statement should have been suppressed. The record reveals that the Information was filed against Appellant on September 2, 1998. Appellant was arrested on September 10, 1998. The district court appointed counsel for Appellant on September 11, 1998. Police Detectives Bo Mathews and Steven Pollack conducted a custodial interrogation of Appellant on September 14, 1998, before Appellant had spoken with the attorney appointed to represent him. His attorney filed an entry of appearance on September 15, 1998. We find from this, that at the time of the interrogation, Appellant's Sixth Amendment right to counsel had attached and was not waived. See *Valdez v. State*, 900 P.2d 363, 374 (Okl.Cr.1995)(Sixth Amendment right to counsel attaches when the Information is filed and a valid waiver of that right cannot be established by showing only that the accused responded to police-initiated custodial interrogation even if the accused was advised of his rights). See also *Pickens v. State*, 850 P.2d 328 (Okl.Cr.1993); *Walker v. State*, 795 P.2d 1064 (Okl.Cr.1990). Accordingly, Appellant's confession was taken in violation of his Sixth Amendment right to counsel and should not have been admitted at trial.

Because this error was of constitutional magnitude, this Court must apply the test set forth in *Chapman v. California*, 386 U.S. 18, 24, 87 S.Ct. 824, 828, 17 L.Ed.2d 705, 710-11 (1967) to determine whether this error was harmless beyond a reasonable doubt. In light of the damaging nature of Appellant's confession, we cannot find that this error was harmless beyond a reasonable doubt. Accordingly, Appellant's Judgment and Sentence is **REVERSED** and **REMANDED** for a **NEW TRIAL**.

APPEARANCES AT TRIAL

SARA W. MCFALL
216 E. EUFAULA
NORMAN, OKLAHOMA 73069
ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

JONATHAN NICHOLS
CLEVELAND COUNTY DISTRICT
ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
NORMAN, OKLAHOMA 73069
ATTORNEY FOR THE STATE

OPINION BY: STRUBHAR, P.J.
LUMPKIN, V.P.J.: CONCUR
JOHNSON, J.: CONCUR
CHAPEL, J.: CONCUR
LILE, J.: CONCUR

APPEARANCES ON APPEAL

BARRY BENEFIELD
129 WEST COMMERCE
OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA
73109
ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

W.A. DREW EDMONDSON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF
OKLAHOMA
ALECIA A. GEORGE
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
112 STATE CAPITOL BUILDING
OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA
73105
ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLEE